# LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY OFFICE OF FISCAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS

301 State House (317) 232-9855

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

**LS 6544 DATE PREPARED:** Nov 29, 2001

BILL NUMBER: SB 129 BILL AMENDED:

**SUBJECT:** Driving While Using a Hand Held Mobile Telephone.

**FISCAL ANALYST:** Karen Firestone **PHONE NUMBER:** 317-234-2106

FUNDS AFFECTED: X GENERAL IMPACT: State & Local

DEDICATED FEDERAL

<u>Summary of Legislation:</u> The bill provides that a person who operates a motor vehicle and simultaneously uses a hand-held mobile telephone commits a Class D infraction. It creates exceptions for: (1) a person who uses a mobile telephone in an emergency situation; (2) an authorized emergency vehicle; (3) a medical services vehicle; and (4) a privately owned vehicle if the operator of or a passenger in the vehicle is a volunteer firefighter or a certified emergency medical technician en route to the scene of an emergency and a warning light is displayed on the vehicle. The bill defines various terms concerning the use of a mobile telephone.

Effective Date: July 1, 2002.

#### **Explanation of State Expenditures:**

**Explanation of State Revenues:** If additional court cases occur as the result of creating a penalty for using a hand-held mobile phone while operating a motor vehicle, revenue to the state General Fund may increase if infraction judgments and court fees are collected. The maximum judgment for a Class D infraction is \$25 which is deposited in the state General Fund. If court actions are filed and a judgment is entered, a court fee of \$70 would be assessed. 70% of the court fee would be deposited in the state General Fund if the case is filed in a court of record or 55% if the case is filed in a city or town court.

### **Explanation of Local Expenditures:**

**Explanation of Local Revenues:** If additional court actions are filed and a judgment is entered, local governments would receive revenue from the following sources: (1) The county general fund would receive 27% of the \$70 court fee that is assessed in a court of record. Cities and towns maintaining a law enforcement agency that prosecutes at least 50% of its ordinance violations in a court of record may receive 3% of court fees. If the case is filed in a city or town court, 20% of the court fee would be deposited in the

SB 129+ 1

county general fund and 25% would be deposited in the city or town general fund. (2) A \$3 fee would be assessed and, if collected, would be deposited into the county law enforcement continuing education fund. (3) A \$2 jury fee is assessed and, if collected, would be deposited into the county user fee fund to supplement the compensation of jury members.

## **State Agencies Affected:**

**Local Agencies Affected:** Trial courts, local law enforcement agencies.

**Information Sources:** 

SB 129+ 2